UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE GREENEVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)))	2:21-CR-00010-DCLC-CRW
v.)	
BRANDON ROYCE CLARK,)	
Defendant)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before the Court is Defendant's *pro se* Motion to Reduce Sentence under United States Sentencing Guideline Amendment 821 [Doc. 36]. Pursuant to Standing Order 24-05, Federal Defender Services of Eastern Tennessee has filed a notice that it does not intend to file a supplemental motion at this time [Doc. 37].

I. BACKGROUND

On May 28, 2021, Defendant pleaded guilty to one count being a felon in possession of a firearm [Docs. 14, 17]. Based on a total offense level of 21 and a criminal history category of VI, Defendant's guideline range was 77 to 96 months [Doc. 19, ¶ 66]. The Court sentenced Defendant at the top of his guideline range to 96 months [Doc. 30, pg. 2]. Defendant is currently housed at USP Atwater with a projected release date of November 29, 2027. *See* Bureau of Prisons, https://www.bop.gov/inmateloc/ (last visited July 9, 2024). He now seeks a sentence reduction pursuant to Guideline Amendment 821 [Doc. 36].

II. ANALYSIS

"A district court may modify a defendant's sentence only as provided by statute." *United*

States v. Johnson, 564 F.3d 419, 421 (6th Cir. 2009) (citation omitted). Relevant here, 18 U.S.C.

§ 3582(c)(2) authorizes a court to impose a sentence reduction when a defendant "has been

sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been

lowered by the Sentencing Commission . . . after considering the factors set forth in [18 U.S.C. §

3553(a)] to the extent that they are applicable, if such a reduction is consistent with applicable

policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

Amendment 821, which took effect on November 1, 2023, altered the application of the

guidelines with respect to offenders who earned criminal history "status" points based on the

commission of an offense while serving a criminal justice sentence or offenders with zero criminal

history points at the time of sentencing ("zero-point offenders"). Relevant here, Amendment 821

amended U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1 to reduce or eliminate status points. Specifically, status points are

eliminated for defendants with six or less criminal history points, and one status point, rather than

two, are applied for defendants with more than six criminal history points.

At the time of sentencing, Defendant's subtotal criminal history score was 12 and he

received two additional status points for committing the offense while under a criminal justice

sentence for this Court [Doc. 19, ¶ 42]. If sentenced today, Defendant would receive one status

point under U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1, resulting in a total of 13 criminal history points instead of 14. But

Defendant would still be in criminal history category IV and his guideline range would be the

same. Accordingly, Defendant is ineligible for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein, Defendant's motion [Doc. 36] is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED:

s/ Clifton L. Corker

United States District Judge

2